UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,009	02/25/2005	Akiyoshi Fujii	1248-0772PUS1	4652
	7590 12/07/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	CH 374 22040 0747	KALAM, ABUL		
FALLS CHURG	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2814		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/07/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/526,009	FUJII ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ABUL KALAM	2814		

	ABUL KALAM	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 November 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or	nich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ft)	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejectior E FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply original.	of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office	te extension fee action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the p	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS		20 m = 1 h = 1 m = 1 h = 1	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO` w);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beth appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially re-	aucing or simplifying th	e issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (P	TOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,9,10,26-29,34 and 35</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	before or on the data of filing a Ne	ation of Annual will not	ha antarad
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	, , , , ,	n condition for allowand	e because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Phat X Cao/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 2814	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior art rejections and the 112, first paragraph, rejection are still maintained. Applicant argues that the Furusawa and Kawase reference are not proper prior art references because the instant Application claims priority to two Japanese Patent Applications, which predate the prior art references. However, the translations to these Japanese Patent Applications have not been filed, and thus, the rejections are still maintained. Regarding the rejection of claims 26-29 and 35 under 112, first paragraph, Applicant argues that the claimed TFT array substrate is disclosed at least in the Specification, page 6 line 17 through page 7, line 6. The argument is not persuasive because, nowhere in the specification, including pages 6-7, does the Applicant describe wherein the "mask material dropped onto a semiconductor film" and the conductor layer that "has a portion formed by dropping a droplet," are different features. However, claim 26 recites the mask material and the conductor layer as two different features, and there is no such description, in the specification, of these limitations as two different features.